

GA.13 14/15

Governance and Audit

31 July 2014

# Subject: Summary of Benefit Fraud 2013/2014

Report by:	
Contact Officer:	Alan Robinson Revenues Benefits and Customer Services Manager T: 01427 676509
Purpose / Summary:	To review the number, type and results of fraud investigations made to the Council during 2013/2014

## **RECOMMENDATIONS:**

That Members note the 2013/2014 results and where appropriate suggest corrective action

#### **IMPLICATIONS**

Legal: None

Financial : None – FIN/41/15

Staffing : None

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights : N/A

**Risk Assessment : N/A** 

**Climate Related Risks and Opportunities : None** 

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:				

Call in and Urgency:

Is the decision one which Rule 14 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules apply?

Yes		No	✓
Key Deci	sion:		
Yes		No	✓

1. Introduction 1.1 In March 2008 the Corporate Governance Group agreed to submit an annual report to this Committee on Fraud. This report deals specifically with Benefit Fraud investigated by the Investigation Team

## 2. Background

2.1 The Council had an investigations team of 1.8 investigators between April and October when a member of staff left leaving just 1 investigator working within Revenues and Benefits. A fraud intelligence officer has recently been recruited for a term of 12 months to provide administrative support and intelligence gathering. All of the investigations which they carried out during 2013/2014 related to Housing and Council Tax Benefit. Referrals for investigations came form various sources including the Department of Work and Pensions, Housing Benefit Matching Service, staff and members of the public.

## 3. Analysis of Investigations 2013/2014

3.1 During 2013/2014 the investigations team received 297 referrals to consider investigating for fraud. The table below shows the number of referrals and the outcomes following investigation.

	Number	Percentage of referrals		
Referrals received	297			
Investigations carried	186	62%		
out				
Fraud Proven	10	3%		
Cautions carried out	15	5%		
Administrative Penalty	2	0.6%		
carried out				
Prosecutions	17	6%		

Table 1

- 3.2 An assessment is made against each referral to establish whether there is a need to carry out an investigation. No investigation would take place where the allegation was too vague or where the information provided has already been declared
- 3.3 The table shows that 10% of the referrals received resulted in fraud being proven. Analysis of these results allows for the assessment of the referrals to be refined so that the cases with the most likelihood of success are investigated.
- 3.4 The graph below shows the source of referrals received by the fraud team during 2013/2014. The 2 main sources are the Housing Benefit Matching Service (HBMS) (210) and the Benefits Team (41). However we also received 37 anonymous allegations from members of the public.



- 3.5 Fraud awareness is really important to the team. Press releases are produced for all successful prosecution cases to keep the service in the public eye. Internally the Investigation team are represented in the Corporate Enforcement group and regular meetings have taken place with the public protection team and housing to create a consistent approach to enforcement.
- 3.5 The graph below shows details of the different types of fraud that have been investigated. There is a wide variation of fraud types however because there are no review periods this year has shown an increase in failing to update any changes in income be that Earnings, Benefits or Pensions. The most significant change in 2013/2014 is a continued increase in claims where single parents fail to declare partners living with them and or other household changes. This also affects their entitlement to income support and the level of overpayment is therefore increased.



3.7 The above table shows that a high proportion of frauds proven are as a result of undeclared partners or additional household members. The team have now got direct access to a credit referencing service which will speeds up investigations of this type.

#### 4. Conclusion

4.1 The investigation team have continued to concentrate on more serious fraud by using a risk scoring mechanism. The value of overpayments attributed to fraud in 2013/2014 was £166,133. Every possible effort is made to recover this debt.

The number of sanctions applied has decreased but the value of the overpayments has increased. This is due to further policy changes at the Department of Works and Pensions who no longer investigate cases where the overpayment of benefit is likely to be less than £2000 and they no longer offer cautions or administrative penalties. This has impacted on the number of joint investigations undertaken and therefore reduced the number of cautions offered.

In the most serious cases fraudsters are prosecuted at the Magistrates Court. Between 1<sup>st</sup> April 2013 and 31<sup>st</sup> March 2014 17 fraudsters were prosecuted. This is an increase on last year which is attributable to the change in the processing of files for prosecution. From April 2012 the Crown Prosecution Service took over the checking of files presented and booking them into court when accepted. They have been seriously overloaded with work and therefore the process has taken much longer than previously.

A target for this financial year is to improve the recovery rate for overpayments attributed to fraud.