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At the request of C\&I Committee, this report presents the findings of the feedback from Members of Council on all three options for the Reconfiguration of the Council Chamber.

## RECOMMENDATION(S):

That Members make recommendations to the forthcoming meeting of Council to adopt a new configuration for Council meetings.

## IMPLICATIONS

Legal: None

## Financial : FIN REF 10/17

A $£ 2000$ pressure had been identified for undertaking modifications to the chamber to accommodate a horse shoe layout.

Staffing :None

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None

Risk Assessment :None

Climate Related Risks and Opportunities :None

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

220216 - C\&I Committee Report on Update from Democracy Working Group Minutes of the meeting of C\&I Committee on $22^{\text {nd }}$ February

## Call in and Urgency:

Is the decision one which Rule 14.7 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules apply?
i.e. is the report exempt from being called in due to urgency (in consultation with C\&I chairman)

No
X

Key Decision:

A matter which affects two or more wards, or has significant financial implications

## Yes

$\square$ No X

## 1. BACKGROUND

1.1 Following much debate at the Member Forum (which comprises a cross section of all political groups) the Democracy Working Group (DWG) took on the task of reconfiguring the Council Chamber to deliver a better opportunity for debate and to aid the Democratic process.
1.2 A full options appraisal was completed, and trial layouts undertaken at meetings of Council in November and January. Feedback was sought from Members and analysed by the DWG.
1.3 At its previous meeting, the Challenge and Improvement (C\&I) Committee considered an update from the DWG, and as part of that report, proposals were made to adopt a new configuration of the Council Chamber.
1.4 C\&I discussed the item of business as follows (abridged for the purpose of relevance to this report):

## 64 DEMOCRACY WORKING GROUP UPDATE REPORT (CAI. 42 15/16)

Consideration was given to a report which provided Members with an update on the work of the Democracy Working Group, including reporting on the recent chamber reconfiguration trials (Section 4 of the report).

Debate ensued and referring to the data contained in Section 4.9 of the report, Members commented that there did not appear to be an overwhelming preference. This was confirmed. It was therefore suggested that prior to making any recommendation to Full Council on a revised configuration, particularly in view of the associated costs, Members' views first be sought on the traditional layout and then the results from all three configurations be considered again by the Committee at its next meeting.

On that basis it was RESOLVED that:
(c) prior to making any recommendation to Full Council on a revised configuration for the Council Chamber, the results from all three configurations (including the traditional layout) be considered again by the Committee at its next meeting;

### 1.5 Therefore, the traditional layout for Council was put in place for Members at Budget Council on $3^{\text {rd }}$ March 16, and feedback was sought for comparison with data already collected.

## 2. OPTIONS CONSIDERED

2.1 For the purpose of this report, retaining the original layout is referred to as Option 3.
2.2 On $3^{\text {rd }}$ March, the Council Chamber was set up as per Appendix $C$ at the end of this report. Options 1 and 2 are also appended as Appendix $A$ and $B$ respectively.
2.3 Seating plans remained the same as agreed at the commencement of the Civic Year.
2.4 Initial comments from Members included the following issues:

- Preference for Option 1
- Option 3 creates a feeling of isolation due to restricted view of other members
- A wish to see Members when they are speaking;
- Preference for a horseshoe shape;
- Option 3 allows movement for members during meetings without disturbing others;
- Options 1 and 2 support debate;
- Individual Member requirements;
- Investigation required to find a solution where Members can see as many Members as possible (in the round);
- Option 3 seemed less cramped; and
- Inclusivity driven layout required.
2.5 The scoring received still showed a strong indication that Members preferred Option 1 ( $15^{\text {th }}$ November) to both the Option 2 ( $25^{\text {th }}$ January) and the original layout ( $5^{\text {th }}$ March).

| AVERAGE SCORES | OPTION 1 | OPTION 2 | OPTION 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Appropriate for <br> purpose | 7.3 | 5.0 | 6.2 |
| Aids Debate / <br> Democracy | 7.0 | 5.3 | 5.4 |
| Comfortable / <br> Accessible | 6.9 | 6.2 | 6.1 |
| Ease of viewing <br> presentations | 7.5 | 4.4 | 6.7 |
| Percentage of Cllrs <br> preferring Option | $63 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $32 \%$ |

## 3. RECCOMENDATIONS FROM THE DWG

3.1 The DWG, at their meeting on $16^{\text {th }}$ March considered each comment made, and the scores received and favoured recommendation of Option 1 for adoption.
3.2 Seating arrangements for any agreed option will be discussed and agreed by group leaders, and the appendices do not reflect a definitive seating plan, but a configuration only.
3.3 C\&I Committee should be mindful that each option presented also has a cost implication of $£ 1200-£ 1900$, to move floor boxes and relocate the drinks machine. Therefore a $£ 2000$ pressure has been identified to undertake this unbudgeted work.
3.4 The Committee are asked to reflect on the findings of the DWG and make recommendations, where appropriate, to Council for the adoption of a new layout from Annual Council on $9^{\text {th }}$ May.

## APPENDIX A - Option 1
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APPENDIX C - OPTION
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## Council Chamber Layout

| Officers <br> 45 | Chaplain <br> 44 |
| :---: | :---: |


| $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vice-Chairman <br> Roger <br> Patterson | Chairman <br> Angela <br> Lawrence | Chief <br> Executive |



| 15 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hugo | John | Paul | Steve | Pat | Sheila | Di | Chris | David | Michael |
| Marfleet | McNeill | H-Cowan | England | Mewis | Bibb | Rodgers | Darcel | Bond | Devine |


| 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 24 | 25 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thomas | Jackie | Malcolm | Adam | Stuart | Jessie | lan | Alex | Matthew | Judy | Trevor |
| Smith | Brockway | Parish | Duguid | Kinch | Milne | Fleetwood | Bridgwood | Boles | Rainsforth | Young |


| 39 | 38 | 37 | 36 | 35 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 31 | 30 | 29 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lewis | Gillian | Owen | Tom | Stuart | Anne | Jeff | Giles | Lesley | Reg | David | Angela |
| Strange | Bardsley | Bierley | Regis | Curtis | Welburn | Summers | McNeill | Rollings | Shore | Cotton | White |

