

GA.65 15/16

Committee: Governance & Audit

Date: 14<sup>th</sup> April 2016

# Subject: Report title – Strategic Risks (April 2016)

Report by:	Director of Resources: Ian Knowles
Contact Officer:	Business Improvement & Corporate Governance Team Manager
Purpose / Summary:	01427 676537 To present Members with the strategic risks facing the Council as at April 2016

**RECOMMENDATION(S):** 1. To note and review the strategic risks as presented.

#### IMPLICATIONS

Legal: None

Financial: FIN/5/17 None

Staffing: None

Equality and Diversity including Human Rights: None

**Risk Assessment: None** 

**Climate Related Risks and Opportunities: None** 

Title and Location of any Background Papers used in the preparation of this report:

### Call in and Urgency:

Is the decision one which Rule 14.7 of the Scrutiny Procedure Rules apply?

i.e. is the report exempt from being called in due to urgency (in consultation with C&I chairman)	Yes	No	
Key Decision:			
A matter which affects two or more wards, or has significant financial implications	Yes	No	

# 1 Introduction

1.1 Strategic Risks are considered as being those faced by the Council that if materialised would affect the delivery of corporate plan priorities.

1.2 Governance and Audit Committee review the strategic risks on a sixmonthly basis.

## 2 Monitoring Arrangements

2.1 The strategic risks are presented to Core Leadership Team (CLT) on a quarterly basis for review.

2.2 CLT are requested to review the risks, control measures and future actions to ensure that they remain sufficiently robust to mitigate the identified risks.

2.3 Where corrective action is required and/or additional risks are identified, the strategic risk register is updated accordingly.

### 3 Risk Register

3.1 Following the production of the Council's new Corporate Plan covering the period 2016-2020 and the revision of the Council's Risk Strategy, work has been undertaken to assess the risks to the achievement of the Corporate Plan priorities and to identify current mitigations and/or further required action to strengthen the mitigating position.

3.2 This work has produced a revised strategic risk register based upon the following priorities:

- Open for Business
- Asset Management
- People First
- Partnerships/Devolution
- Local Plan
- Excellent Value for Money Services
- 3.3 A number of additional risks have also been identified which focus on elements that underpin our workings e.g. compliance and business continuity.
- 3.4 This approach reflects the guidance provided by the Association of Local Authority Risk Managers (ALARM). This body advocates that strategic risks should focus on the long-term objectives of the organisation, which can be affected by areas such as financial concerns, political risks, legal and regulatory changes and changes in the physical environment.
- 3.5 The risk register is presented for review.

# 4 Recommendation

4.1 Members are asked to review the register and to consider:

- Do any additional risks of a strategic nature exist?
- Are current controls and proposed actions sufficiently robust?

Risk Type	Title	Allocated To	Description of Potential Risk	Risk Level	Control	Control Measures	Review Date	Actions	Review Period
Strategic	Open for Business		Growth does not match the ambitions of the Council, skills issues are not addressed and analysis and promotion of the visitor economy does not materialise.		Treat	<ol> <li>Capital Programme in place. 2.</li> <li>Strategic Partnerships formed (GLLEP).</li> <li>LDO's and FEZ in place. 4. Growth Programme developed. 5. Effective Local Plan in development. 6. Growth Board overseeing programme/project development. 7. Progress and Delivery project reporting to Members. 8.</li> <li>Tourism working group in place.</li> </ol>	01/07/2016	1. To ensure that all service areas demonstrate behaviours and attitude that support the 'Open for Business' ethos.	Quarterly
Strategic	People First	ΙΚ	We do not deliver a customer focussed approach, provide appropriate infrastructure and facilities for residents. We do and do not devlop, equip and support staff to be fully effective in their roles thereby unable to adhere to our customer focussed, entrpreneurial principles, resulting in poor service, non- motivated work force and an unattractive offer for residents and inward investment.		Treat	1. Gainsborough Growth Programme in place. 2. Effective Local Plan in development. 3. People Strategy developed incorporating culture change elements.		1. Develop out Customer Strategy. 2. Leisure Review to report providing potential options for future service delivery.	Quarterly
Strategic	Asset Management		Our assets are underutilised, generate lower returns than required, do not facilitate inward investment or deliver fewer social benefits than expected.		Treat	<ol> <li>Strategic Land &amp; Property Plan in place.</li> <li>Commercial Plan in place.</li> <li>Land and Property review undertaken.</li> <li>Asset mgt database (CAMS) in place.</li> <li>Rolling stock condition survey programme developed.</li> </ol>		1. Finalise planned maintenance programme. 2. Ensure appropriate skills/capability and capacity exist within the service.	Six monthly

Strategic	Devolution/Partnerships	MG	Partnership working does not materialise or is not fully effective to support improved service delivery at as local a level as possible and successful commercial partnerships and Joint Ventures are not formed. Devolved powers do not materialise.	Treat	1. Involvement at C/Exec level in devolution discussions and submissions to Govt.	1. Review of internal governance arrangements. 2. Design and ensure governance arrangements supporting devolved partnerships are robust and effective.	Quarterly
Strategic	Local Plan	MS	Local Plan is not adopted and/or does not reflect or meet the needs of both Gainsborough and the infrastructure, housing and growth priorities for West Lindsey and our intentions re Neighbourhood Plans are not met	Treat	1. Close scrutiny of Local Plan development. 2. Positive assurance in the form of audit findings relating to the process followed at the JPU. 3. LDOs and FEZ arrangements in place.	1. Ensure effective consultation of draft Local Plan by Summer 2016. 2. Deliver appropriate infrastructure to support Local Plan implementation and delivery.	Quarterly
Strategic	Excellent VfM Services	MS	We do not identify and implement efficient and effective, lower-cost alternative service delivery models. We do not ensure sufficient focus on the financial drivers and value for money considerations of change/improvement proposals.	Treat	1. Functional analysis underway. 2. People Strategy focussing on expected skills and behaviours. 3. Development Management improvement plan underway. 4. Programme/project management methodology and structures in place. 5. Progress & Delivery reporting in place.	1. Appraise and design new service delivery model. 2. Establish ICT requirements to enable VfM services to be delivered. 3. Implement findings of Choice Based lettings audit. 4. Complete reviews into Localism and Public Protection.	Quarterly
Strategic	Commercial Approach	PS	Commercial Projects do not deliver anticipated benefits resulting in increased financial pressures	Treat	1. Commercial Strategy in place. 2. Commercial steering group (including Members) established. 3. Commercial Programme Board overseeing programme/project development. 4. Progress and Delivery project reporting to Members. 5. Budget Monitoring undertaken.	1. Ensure appropraiet skills, capacity and structures are in place to deliver commercial initiatives.	Quarterly

Strategic	Corporate Health &	MG	We do not adequately ensure	Treat	1. KMSKMW group in place to consider	01/10/2016		Six monthly
	Safety		that our staff and visitors are		H&S issues. 2. H&S co-ordinator role in			
			protected in the workplace from		place. 3. H&S Champions across the			
			accidents or work-related ill-		Council. 4. Regular H&S walks			
			health by eliminating hazards		undertaken to identify and report			
			from work activities where		potential hazards in the workplace. 5.			
			possible and where not, assessing		Stress management awareness for staff			
			and ensuring adequate control of		and subscription to CareLine facility. 6.			
			the associated risks. This leads to		Regularly reviewed service level H&S			
			an unsafe workplace and		and lone working risk assessments and			
			inadequate care for staff and		protocols in place. 7. Regular H&S			
			potential legal action		council-wide training undertaken. 8.			
					Reporting to CLT on H&S incidents. 9.			
					JSCC considers H&S related matters.			
Strategic	Compliance	IK	We do not comply or fail to	Treat	1. Horizon Scanning functions	01/07/2016		Quarterly
			correctly implement relevant		undertaken. 2. Monitoring Officer in			
			statutory legislation resulting in		place. 3. Annual production of			
			adverse reputational impacts and		Governance Statement. 4. Regular			
			legal and financial consequences.		liaison with Lincs Legal Shared Services.			
					5. Legal implications detailed in reports			
Strategic	Business Continuity	MS	Council services are not	Treat	1. Business Continuity Plan in place. 2.	01/07/2016	1. Review of effectiveness of	Quarterly
			maintained and priority services		Regular training events held. 3. Use of		service level business	
			are not provided in the event of		LCC based Emergency Planning Officer.		continuity plans.	
			significant disruption or a major		4. ICT recovery policy and protocols. 5.			
			emergency in the District		Service level business continuity plans			
					in place. 6. Out of Hours rota in place.			

Strategic	Information Governance	IK	Data leakage and successful cyber-	Treat	1. Data processing and storage	01/07/2016	1. Achieve an appropriate level	Quarterly
			crime attempts occur leading to		complies with legislation. 2. Data		of understanding and	
			financial, reputational and legal		quality is addressed within information		behaviours whereby	
			consequences due to lack of		policies. 3. Information Security		Information Governance	
			robust controls, policies and		training sessions are regularly held. 4.		matters become business as	
			processes which are not		Data Quality policy in place. 5. Roles of		usual considerations.	
			communicated to and followed by		Corporate Information Governance			
			staff and Members.		Group (CIGG), Senior Information Risk			
					Owner (SIRO) and Senior Information			
					Governance Officer (SIGO) established.			
					6. Information Asset Owners in place			
					across the Council. 7. Information			
					Governance Strategy in place. 8. On-			
					going monitoring of developments in			
					this field.			